

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,351	04/18/2001	Jang-Jin Yoo	8733.421.00	8728
30827 7	7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
1900 K STREI WASHINGTO	REET, NW GTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·····			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		gn.
ication No.	Applicant(s)	
36,351	YOO ET AL.	
niner	Art Unit	
thy L Rude	2871	
the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
S APPLICATION IN CON abandonment of this applicately filed amendment which appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reconstruction of the categories can be called a public categories.	ply to a cation in
check either a) or b)]		
al rejection. ction, or (2) the date set forth in th MONTHS from the mailing date o WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection.	
nich the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 I the corresponding amount of the ry period for reply originally set in er the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
f must be filed within the p 01(d)), to avoid dismissal		
e :		
sideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
; er form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
) :		
owable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
nsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	OT place the
it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
\boxtimes will not be entered or be rejected is provided belo		and an
,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,- ,-	<u>.</u>	.

Appl 09/83 **Advisory Action** Exam Timo

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on

THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid al final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a time.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,12,13,16 and 37.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,6-11,14,15,17-36 and 38-56</u> .
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
tir Primary Examiner

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendments to base claim 1 add new limitations that have not been considered and searched. Specifically, a plurality of dielectric protrusions "on the plurality of common electrodes and pixel electrodes" between the first and second substrates, "wherein the dielectric protrusions are disposed along reference lines perpendicular to the plurality of common electrodes and pixel electrodes;" requires further consideration and search.